14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534 TLB@pisanellibice.com								
2	Jordan T. Smith, Esq., Bar No. 12097 JTS@pisanellibice.com								
3	Brianna Smith, Esq., Bar No. 11795 BGS@pisanellibice.com								
4	PISANELLI BICE PLLC 400 South 7th Street, Suite 300								
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702.214.2100								
6									
7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Matthew Maddox and Katherine Maddox								
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT								
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA								
10	MATTHEW and KATHERINE MADDOX, a married couple,	Case No.: 2:23-cv							
11	•								
12	Plaintiffs, v.	STIPULATION A EXTEND DEAD TO MOTION TO							
13	SASHA ADLER, an individual; SASHA ADLER DESIGN, LLC; DOES I-X;	EXTEND ASSOC DEADLINES							

ROE CORPORATIONS XI-XX.

Case No.: 2:23-cv-00535-RFB-NJK

STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ID DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS AND EXTEND ASSOCIATED BRIEFING **DEADLINES**

(Second Request)

Defendants.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Local Rule 26-1, Plaintiffs Matthew and Katherine Maddox ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Sasha Adler and Sasha Adler Design, LLC ("Defendants"), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate to the following briefing schedule on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint (ECF No. 43), filed February 22, 2024; and in support thereof, state and stipulate as follows:

- 1. On January 25, 2024, Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint alleging claims under the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act. (ECF No. 37.)
- 2. On February 22, 2024, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 43.)
- 3. Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is presently due March 7, 2024.

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1

4.	Plaintiffs seek	additional t	ime to	respond	to the	Motion	to Dismiss	because
Plaintiffs' co	unsel has had an ı	anexpected de	eath in t	he family	, and th	e deadlir	ne for the fili	ng of the
Response to	the Motion to Dis	smiss is sche	duled w	hen the	undersig	gned will	be traveling	g for and
attending the	funeral service.							

- 5. The parties have also agreed to extend additional time to Defendants to file their Reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss due to pre-scheduled family commitments over the new reply deadline that cannot be rescheduled.
- 6. Therefore, the parties have agreed to extend the deadline to file Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss from March 7, 2024 to March 22, 2024.
- 7. The parties have further agreed to extend the deadline for Defendants to file their Reply in support of their Motion to Dismiss to April 11, 2024 in light of Defendants' counsel's family commitments.
- 8. This briefing schedule is not proposed for purposes of delay but rather to accommodate extenuating circumstances.

DATED this 29th day of February 2024.

HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC

DATED this 29th day of February 2024.

| PISANELLI BICE PLLC

By: /s/Arial lab

By: /s/ Brianna Smith
Todd L. Bice, Esq., Bar No. 4534
Jordan T. Smith, Esq., Bar No. 12097
Brianna Smith, Esq., Bar No. 11795
400 South 7th Street, Suite 300
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

By: /s/Ariel Johnson
Mark A. Hutchison, Esq., Bar No. 4639
Ariel Johnson, Esq., Bar No. 13357
10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

Timothy Sperling, Esq. (pro hac vice) SPERLING & SLATER, P.C. 55 West Monroe, Suite 3200 Chicago, Illinois 60603 Attorneys for Defendants

IT IS SO ORDERED.

HON. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

DATED: March 1, 2024

2 of 2